## Lecture 10: Colonic Polyps

## **What are they?**

• They are mass lesions protruding from the mucosa into the lumen.

## How do they appear?

Due to defects in:

- 1. Cell proliferation
- 2. Differentiation
- 3. Apoptosis of normal mucosa
- ▼ Classifications:

## 1. Non-neoplastic polyps:

- A. **Hyperplastic** ➤ Most common (~90%)
- B. Hamartomas  $\rightarrow$  e.g., Peutz-Jeghers and juvenile polyps
- C. Inflammatory (e.g., in UC)→Also called pseudopolyps

## **2. Neoplastic polyps** $\rightarrow$ One of three types of adenoma:

Tubular	Tubulovillous	Villous
When villous component is 0–25%	Villous component is 25–75%	Villous component (75%)
Found in <b>80–86%</b>	Found in <b>8–16%</b>	Found in <b>3–16%</b>

# **Note**:

 Villous is the one with the highest risk of malignancy (Villous > Tubulovillous > Tubular)

#### Adenomas:

- Make up 2/3 of colon polyps
- More common in men
- Mostly located in left colon (87-89%)
- Most are <1 cm</li>
- **Distribution** is similar to carcinoma

## **A** Notes:

- You would need **5 years** for a healthy colon to progress into **invasive carcinoma**
- Polyp removal reduces the risk of cancer Due to colonoscopy and polypectomy

**Malignant** potential of adenoma depends on:

- 1. Size
- 2. Histological type
- 3. Degree of dysplasia

( 1 the dysplasia, 1 the risk)

It's determined according to the degree of atypical cells ► Classified into:

• Low, moderate, and high grade

High-grade dysplasia is very similar to carcinoma, except its limited to epithelium

## V Final note:

The larger the polyp, the more the dysplasia.

Anatomical Classification of Polyps:

- **Sessile**: Higher risk for malignancy
- **Pedunculated**: Lower risk



	► age.	
Risk Factors:	<ul> <li>lack of fruits and vegetables,</li> </ul>	
	► fat-rich diet,	
Same as colorectal cancer	<ul> <li>low folate intake,</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>excessive alcohol consumption, increased</li> </ul>	
	Smoking	
	<ul> <li>Physical inactivity</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Family history</li> </ul>	
	► acromegaly	

## **A** High-Risk malignancy:

- Type and Size of polyp > 1 cm (30%) / 2cm villous (50%) / villious adenoma > tubular
- Number of polyps
- **Proximal location** has more villous types
- Histology: Villous > Tubulovillous > Tubular

Note: Annual conversion of adenoma to carcinoma:

- ~0.25%/year
- In 5 years: ~2.5%
- In 10 years : 8%

Table 9.2 Relation between type of adenoma and size of adenoma/degree of dysplasia

	Size of a	Size of adenoma (%) [6]		Degree	Degree of dysplasia (%) [7]		
Type of adenoma	<1 cm	1-2 cm	>2 cm	Mild	Moderate	Severe	
Tubular	77	20	4	88	8	4	
Tubulovillous	25	47	29	58	26	16	
Villous	14	26	60	41	38	21	

• In 20 years: ~24%

#### SURVEILLANCE FOLLOWING ADENOMA REMOVAL



## FAP (Familial Adenomatous Polyposis):

- Autosomal dominant
- Mutation in **APC gene**, on **chromosome 5**
- Hundreds of polyps in colon & duodenum Involved in 90% of cases
- Could also be found in stomach

#### **Clinical Findings:**

- **2nd and 3rd** decade onset
- Risk of malignancy = 100% if untreated

## **Diagnosis:**

- 1. **≥100** polyps on colonoscopy
- 2. + APC mutation in 80% of pt
- 3. 20% have new APC mutations

## **Clinical Surveillance:**

- 1. at age 13–15 do Flexible sigmoidoscopy every year if no polyps skip to 20 to do colonoscopy
- 2. if symptomatic  $\rightarrow$  do flex sig. or colonoscopy
- 3. Genetic testing if available if no  $\rightarrow$  use CHRPE screening since 50% have it
- 4. If no adenoma at age  $30 \rightarrow$  unlikely to be FAP (exclude)

## **Surgery (Prophylactic):**

- Since carcinoma could happen after 10 to 20y of dx
- **Proctocolectomy** (removal of entre colon + rectum)
- + IPAA (Ileal Pouch Anal Anastomosis)  $\rightarrow$  allow pt to pass stool NL  $\rightarrow$  BEST OPTION
- Sulindac or Celecoxib (NSAIDs and COX-2 inhibitors) → help shrinkage of polyp but doesn't remove it
- Duodenal polyps require upper endoscopy every 2y after 30

#### Surgical option for FAP c as the setting collectory with learerctal anatomosis (IRA) collectory with encoded anatomosis (IRA) construction of the setting co

### • FAP has extracolonic manifestations too

			Juvenile polyposis:
TABLE 26-1. Extracolo	onic features of FAP		Juvenile Polyposis
System	Feature	Frequency (%	Juvenile polyps: hamartomas that lack smooth muscle bistologically, baying poor anchorage to bayel wall
Upper gastrointestinal	Upper gastrointestinal	95	Eventually amputate and disappear
tract	adenomas		Around the age of 4. blood around stool.
	Upper gastrointestinal carcinoma	5	▶ Multiple polyps in rectum , colon and stomach In 50%.
	Fundic gland polyps	40	► Rare
Connective tissue	Osteomas (especially jaw)	80	► 50-200 polyps
	Desmoids	15	▶ Risk of cancer 30-50%
Dental	Unerupted and supernumerary teeth	17	<ul> <li>Autosomal dominant</li> <li>Treatment: polypectomy / colectomy</li> </ul>
Cutaneous	Epidermoid cysts	50	This is a bright red, glistening pedunculated sphere ('cherry tumour'
Endocrine	Adrenocortical adenomas4	5	Present in infants and children and can stay into adult life.
	Papillary thyroid carcinoma5	1	<ul> <li>Patient present with bleeding, pain and prolapse during defaecation.</li> </ul>
Hepatobiliary	Biliary tract carcinoma	<1	polyp has no tendency to malignant change It has a unique
	Hepatoblastoma	<1	histological structure with large mucus-filled spaces covered by a
Central nervous system	CHRPE	75	
	Tumors (especially medulloblastoma)	<1	► Treatment is excision

Advantages and disadvan	tages of screening modalities for asympt	omatic individuals
	ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES
Fecal occult blood testing (FOBT)	Ease of use and noninvasive Low cost Good sensitivity with repeat testing	May not detect most polyps Low specificity Colonoscopy required for positive result Poor compliance with serial testing Three successive stools required
Fecal immunohistochemical test (FIT)	Ease of use and noninvasive Low cost More sensitive and specific than FOBT Only one stool sample required	May not detect most polyps Colonoscopy required for positive result
Multitarget stool DNA	Ease of use and noninvasive More sensitive than FTT	May not detect most polyps Colonoscopy required for positive result Less specific than FIT
Sigmoidoscopy	Examines colon most at risk Very sensitive for polyp detection in left colon Does not require full bowel preparation (enemas only)	Invasive Uncomfortable Slight risk of perforation or bleeding May miss proximal lesions Colonoscopy required if polyp identified
Colonoscopy	Examines entire colon Highly sensitive and specific Therapeutic	Most invasive Uncomfortable and requires sedative Requires bowel preparation Risk of perforation or bleeding Costly
Double-contrast barium enema	Examines entire colon Good sensitivity for polyps >1 cm Examines entire colon	Requires bowel preparation Less sensitivity for polyps <1 cm May miss lesions in the sigmoid colon Colonoscopy required for positive result
Computed tomography colonography (virtual colonoscopy)	Noninvasive Sensitivity may be as good as colonoscopy	Requires bowel preparation Insensitive for small polyps Minimal experience and data Colonoscopy required for positive result

# Peutz jaghers syndrome: Peutz-Jeghers syndrome • an autosomal dominant condition • characterised by: • nuccoutaneous pigmentation • asstrointestinal harmartomatous polyps. Peutz followed the family for 87 years and the member of the family developed bowel obstructions and cancers • krasted inclutte & zhowachj • krasted inclutte & zhowachj • krasted inclutte & zhowachj • krasted inclutte & zhowachj